PYSC 333 Psychology of Personality

Session 6 – Social-Cognitive Theory Part 2

Lecturer: Dr. Margaret Amankwah-Poku, Dept. of Psychology

Contact Information: mamankwah-poku@ug.edu.gh



UNIVERSITY OF GHANA

College of Education

School of Continuing and Distance Education

2015/2016 - 2016/2017

Session Overview

- Walter Mischel, is another Social-Cognitive theorist, who propounded the Cognitive-Affective Personality System (CAPS) theory
- Mischel believed that personality results from how an individual perceives him/herself in a given situation
- Mischel identified five cognitive-affective units which influences how an individual interprets, processes and reacts to environmental cues
- Mischel also used two factors to explain personality and predict behavior; 1) delaying gratification, and 2) ability to match cognitive-affective units with situational



Session Goals and Objectives

At the end of this session, you should be able to

- Outline Mischel's view of what accounts for an individual's personality
- Define cognitive-affective units
- Describe each of the five cognitive affective units
- Discuss how Mischel explains personality
- Describe how consistency in behaviour is determined



Session Outline

The key topics to be covered in the session are as follows:

- Cognitive-affective Units
- Explaining personality



Reading List

- Carducci, B. J. (2009). The Psychology of personality
 (2nd ed.). Chichester: John Riley & Sons Ltd (Ch 10)
- Larsen, R. J., & Buss, D. M. (2008). Personality
 psychology: Domain of knowledge about human
 nature (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw Hill. (Ch 12)



Social-Cognitive approach

- 1. George Kelly (1905-1967)
- His personal construct theory describe personality in terms of cognitive processes
- •Julian Rotter (1916-2014)
- Defined personality processes that are related to successful and unsuccessful personality adjustment
- 2. Walter Mischel (1930- date)
- The Cognitive-Affective Personality System (CAPS) theory



Walter Mischel (1930 - date)

- Behaviour is governed by both cognitive and situational factors
- Personality does not form as a result of underlying traits
- It results from how a person perceives him/ herself in a given situation
- The core of Mischel's viewpoint is what he called the *cognitive affective units*



Walter Mischel (1930 - date)

- Mischel (1999, 2000, 2004), describes cognitive-affective units as
- •the personal qualities that influences the way an individual processes information in the environment which generates complex patterns of behaviour in reaction to it (in Carducci, 2009)



Walter Mischel (1930 - date)

- Mischel identified five cognitive-affective units
- a) Encodings
- b) Expectancies and belief
- c) Affect
- d) Goals and values
- e) Competencies and self-regulatory plans



Topic One

COGNITIVE-AFFECTIVE UNITS



- a) Encodings How do you see it?
- Involves strategies a person uses to organise, store and transform information he/ she receives/ posses
- •E.g. a trained detective might see the information at a crime scene differently from a journalist or a professional photographer or a witness

- b) Expectations and beliefs what will happen?
- An individual's actions is quite often influenced by what he/ she believes the actions will produce
- This could be
 - i. Behaviour–outcome expectations
 - ii. Stimulus-outcome expectations
 - iii. Self-efficacy



- c) Affects-
- •The feelings and emotional reactions that influence one's thoughts and behaviour in different situations
- •E.g. when you get your results slip and you have performed extremely well, this may uplift your emotional state
- When you are upset from home and go to work



- d) Goals and values- What is it worth?
- One's desire/ preference for certain kinds of reinforcers over others and how these desires/ preferences impact one's behaviour
- E.g.- selecting a low-paying but exciting job over a well-paying but boring job
- A lot of differences in behaviours reflect differences in individuals' goals and subjective values (Carducci, 2009)



- e) Competencies and self-regulatory systems and plans-
- Competencies refers to what the individual knows and what he/she can do- it could be
- cognitive competencies— i.e. the amount and type of information the person possess
- or behavioural competencies- i.e. differences in one's ability to perform certain behaviours

- Self-regulatory systems
 – internal standards
 and codes that guides a person's behaviour
- Self-regulatory plans
 — the specific actions a person decides to take to achieve a desired outcome
- These plans play an important role in how a person copes with life's problems

Topic Two

EXPLAINING PERSONALITY



- Mischel used two personality processes to help explain personality and predict behaviour (Carducci, 2009)
- 1. Ability to delay gratification
- 2. Matching of cognitive-affective units with situational demands

- 1. Delaying gratification
- The tendency to forgo a smaller reward which is immediate, for a larger reward in the future (Carducci, 2009)
- Mischel & Ebbesen (1970) investigated this process of delaying gratification in children and adolescents

- Children had the option to receive a small reward (crackers) immediately or a larger reward (lots of candy) later
- Findings- delayed gratification was more challenging if the reward was visible to the child, and if the child was thinking about this reward

- The ability to delay gratification could be increased by use of various behaviours and cognitive strategies
- Mischel (1990) noted delay of gratification as a core component of successful personality adjustment
- Inability to delay gratification could result in a variety of addictive and antisocial behaviours



- E.g. a study of adolescents in summer camp associated inability to delay gratification with aggressiveness (Rodrigrez et al, 1989)
- The ability to delay gratification was associated with favourable consequences for adolescents (Carducci, 2009)

- Shoda, Mischel and Peake (1990)
- The academic and social competencies of adolescents who exhibited the ability to delay gratification at preschool and those who lacked a delay in gratification
- Delayed gratification was associated with higher score on the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT)



- Such individuals were rated by their parents as being:
- Matured, better able to cope with stress and frustration, more likely to use reason and more likely to think and plan ahead (Shoda, et al., 1990)
- Delayed gratification has been associated with coping with stress to increase academic performance



- 2. Matching of Conditions and Competencies
- Trait theory is limited in its usefulness
- Personality theorist should not explain behaviour in terms of personality traits, but instead, focus on situational factors
- Situational differences should determine behaviour and not underlying traits



- 2. Matching of Conditions and Competencies
- E.g. one who is friendly at work but reserved with strangers
- Behaviour is then a function of the situation
- How friendly a person behaves will be determined by the situation and not personality traits



- 2. Matching of Conditions and Competencies
- Mischel (1999) presented a contextualized view of personality as expressed in "if . . .then . . . " propositions :
- If situation A, then the person does X, but if situation B, then the person does Y (Larsen & Buss, 2008)

- Thus the person and situation interact to produce behaviour
- To predict behaviour, know about the cognitive person variables and the situation the individual is facing (Bernstein et al, 2000)
- Termed Person X Situation interactionism



- Different individuals can be influenced by the same situation in different ways (Lahey, 2001)
- E.g. A friend who is loud when she is with you may be extremely shy in a social setting where other people are present

- E.g. A friend who is loud when she is with you may be extremely shy in a social setting where other people are present
- Thus, a person's personality can only be described in "if ... then" terms (Mischel & Shoda (1999)
- E.g. <u>If</u> a person feels welcome in a group, <u>then</u> she is outgoing and interactive



- Consistency in behaviour in different situations is determined by:
- The extent to which the "if then" analysis
 of different situations require a similar
 behaviour to be displayed (Mischel, 1999; 2004;
 Mischel & Morf, 2003; Mischel & Shod, 1995)
- E.g.- a comedian is more likely to be consistent with his behaviour across situations where exhibiting this skill is appropriate



- The comedian's behaviour may appear inconsistent
- However, when Mischel's "if ... then" logic, is applied, one can see a high degree of consistency (Carducci, 2009)
- Consistency of humorous behaviour across appropriate situations and
- Consistent in lack of humorous behaviour across other situations inappropriate for comic



END OF SESSION 6- PART 2

References

- Bernstein D. A., Clarke-Stewart A., Penner L. A., Roy E.
- J., Wickens C. D. (2000). *Psychology* (5th ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Carducci, B. J. (2009). *The Psychology of personality* (2nd ed.).
- Chichester: John Riley & Sons Ltd
- Lahey, B. B. (2001). Essentials of Psychology. (1st ed.).
 McGraw Hill
- Larsen, R. J., & Buss, D. M. (2008). Personality psychology: Domain of knowledge about human nature (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.